RETAIL ENERGY CODE

This document is classified as **WHITE**.
Information is for public, unrestricted dissemination and publication.

| | |
|---|---|
| 01 | Proposal Plan |
| 02 | Impact Assessment |
| 03 | Consultation |
| 04 | Final Recommendation |

# CHANGE REPORT

## R0250 - UPDATE TO R0060 IMPLEMENTATION DATE

| | |
|---|---|
| DOCUMENT VERSION | 2.0 |
| PROPOSED IMPLEMENTATION DATE | First available release after MHHS Milestone 8 – Code changes delivered |
| IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH | BIG BANG |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | TECHNICAL EXPERT PANEL | CHANGE PATH | SELF GOVERNANCE |
| PRIORITY STATUS | LOW | URGENCY | STANDARD |
| IMPACTED STAKEHOLDERS | ERDS PROVIDERS | | |

| LEAD ANALYST (SOLUTION LEAD) | TECHNICAL LEAD | ASSURANCE LEAD | SUBJECT MATTER EXPERT |
|---|---|---|---|
| HARRIET TRUSS | BETH BROWN | VAISHNAVI SHARMA | GLENN SHEERN |
| Click to send an email | Click to send an email | Click to send an email | Click to send an email |

## OVERVIEW

This change seeks to update the implementation date of R0060 - ERDS Service Definition timezone correction following an update to the MHHS Implementation Plan.

## CODE MANAGER RECOMMENDATION

The Code Manager recommends that the Technical Expert Panel:

- **APPROVE** the proposed change solution;
- **APPROVE** the proposed implementation approach; and
- **APPROVE** the proposed implementation date of 'First available release after MHHS Milestone 8 – Code changes delivered'.

RETAIL ENERGY CODE

CONTENTS


Code Manager Recommendation ..... 1
1 Summary ..... 3
2 Problem Statement ..... 3
3 Impacts ..... 4
4 Cross-Code Impacts ..... 4
5 Proposal plan ..... 4
6 Stakeholder Engagement ..... 5
7 Priority Status and Urgency ..... 5
8 Proposed Solution ..... 5
9 Draft Legal Text ..... 5
10 Risks, Assumptions, Issues and Dependencies ..... 6
11 Business Case Assessment ..... 6
12 Case for Change ..... 7
13 Appendix ..... 7
14 Glossary ..... 7

# BACKGROUND

## 1 SUMMARY

On 28 August 2024, the REC Technical Expert Panel (RECTEP) approved R0060 - ERDS Service Definition timezone correction for implementation on 27 June 2025. The reasoning for the implementation date was provided in the Change Report as follows:

> **10. Proposed Implementation Date and Approach**
>
> This change is a clarification of existing system operations and therefore does not in itself require any lead time. However, the implementation of the MHHS code drafting, at MHHS Programme milestone M8 'Code changes delivered', is planned for March 2025 and includes updates to the ERDS Service Definition.
>
> Although the proposed additions are expected to be a change to the baseline only and do not directly impact the code drafting that has been developed within the MHHS Programme, they will need to be applied to the version of the ERDS Service Definition updated for MHHS.
>
> The proposed implementation date is therefore on the next available release after milestone M8. This will avoid complexity at the point of implementation for the MHHS code changes and avoid a need for a phased implementation for the R0060 legal text.
>
> The approval of R0060 will provide clarity until its implementation.

Following the approval of MHHS Programme Change Request CR055: Amendments to Milestone 10 (M10) and Corresponding Milestones, the programme plan has re-baselined M8 and the implementation date of the Code drafting will now be in September 2025. The new M8 date, at which the code drafting to be delivered by R0209 - Implementation of MHHS Arrangements will be implemented, is therefore **after** the agreed implementation date for R0060, contrary to the above.

## 2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

Without an update to the implementation date of R0060, the intent of the original proposed implementation date cannot be achieved. If the implementation date remains as 27 June 2025 complexity will be created for the implementation of MHHS code drafting as the MHHS design details in its operational choreography document that all timings are in clock time unless stated as otherwise.

## 3 IMPACTS

| REC SERVICE PROVIDERS |
|---|
| ERDS Providers |

| REC DOCUMENTS / PRODUCTS |
|---|
| ERDS Service Definition |
| Schedule 1 – Interpretations and Definitions |

This change looks to update the implementation date of the approved changes to the ERDS Service Definition, with and accompanying new definition in Schedule 1, to reflect operational systems and processes already in place.

| MHHS DESIGN | MHHS DATA SPECIFICATION | MHHS CODE DRAFTING |
|---|---|---|
| No impact | No impact | No impact |

R0250 does not make any amendments to the R0060 solution.

## 4 CROSS-CODE IMPACTS

The Code Manager has discussed the intention to update the R0060 implementation date with Elexon. No detrimental impact has been identified and they have supported moving the implementation date to meet its original intent. It remains with Elexon as to whether there is a need for a change for the Supplier Meter Registration Service in the Balancing and Settlement Code (BSC).

## 5 PROPOSAL PLAN

| Milestone | Activity | From | To |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Change Proposal** | | **24 Mar-25** | **03 Jun-25** |
| | Business Case Assessment | April | April |
| | Consultation | April | May |
| | Solution Refinement | May | May |
| | Final Determination | May | May |
| **Voting** | | **28 May-25** | **28 May-25** |
| | Appeal Window | May | June |

## 6 STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

The Code Manager has engaged with the Meter Point Registration Service (MPRS) service provider and BSCCo stakeholders to confirm the approach to retain the original intent of implementation post MHHS go-live.

A 15 Working Day industry consultation will be issued following approval of the Proposal Plan.

## 7 PRIORITY STATUS AND URGENCY

| Category | Significance / Resource impacts / Urgency | Further selection / breakdown | Score (0-3) | Weighting | Weighted Score |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Impacts** | Consumer Impact | Indirect | 0 | 27 | 0 |
| | Retail Markets Impact | Innovation and Market Arrangements | 1 | 17 | 17 |
| | RECCo / REC Party / REC Service Provider Impact | Operational Effectiveness and Efficiencies | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| | | Legal and Regulatory Compliance | 2 | 14 | 28 |
| **Resource** | Complexity / Effort / Duration | | 3 | 9 | 28 |
| **Strategic Alignment** | Contribution to/ Alignment with the objectives of the REC | | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| | Contribution to/ Alignment with RECCo's Forward Work Plan | | 0 | | 0 |
| **Urgency** *(The need to progress a change to meet a targeted date)* | | Other Planned Activity | 2 | 10 | 20 |
| | | | | Overall Priority Score (rounded) | 93 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Priority Status | LOW |
| Change Proposal Type | STANDARD |

# SOLUTION

## 8 PROPOSED SOLUTION

This change was raised with a fully formed solution that does not require impact assessment.

The proposed solution for this change is to push back the implementation date of R0060 to the first available release after 'MHHS Milestone 8 – Code changes delivered'. This will ensure that the intent of the original implementation date is meant.

## 9 DRAFT LEGAL TEXT

Implementation dates are outside the scope of the REC legal text, therefore there is no draft legal text for this change.

For reference, the R0060 Approved Legal Text can be found here.

RETAIL ENERGY CODE

# PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT

## 10 RISKS, ASSUMPTIONS, ISSUES AND DEPENDENCIES

### 10.1 RISKS AND ISSUES

| NO. | RISK | NOTES | MITIGATION |
|---|---|---|---|
| R0250.R001 | If R0250 is not approved before 27 June 2025, complexity will be created for MHHS code drafting implementation | The MHHS design details in its operational choreography document that all timings are in clock time unless stated as otherwise | Proposal plan developed to ensure Responsible Committee approval before 27 June 2025 |

No issues have been identified.

### 10.2 ASSUMPTIONS AND DEPENDENCIES

No assumptions have been identified.

| NO. | DEPENDENCY | NOTES | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|
| R0250.D001 | The intended implementation approach for R0060 is directly dependent on the progression and approval of this change, R0250, before the current approved implementation date of 27 June 2025 | | Open |

## 11 BUSINESS CASE ASSESSMENT

### COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS

There were no costs associated with R0060 and similarly there will be no consequential cost impacts as a result of moving the implementation date.

## 12 CASE FOR CHANGE

### SELF GOVERNANCE

The Code Manager considers that there is a case for change to implement this Change Proposal, on the basis that:

- the proposed solution is fully formed and appropriately resolves the problem statement;
- there are no costs associated with implementation of this change or ongoing operations;
- without implementation of this change, the original intent of the R0060 implementation date cannot be achieved; and,
- a positive business case can be made, as set out in section 11 of this report.

## 13 APPENDIX

| | |
|---|---|
| **CHANGE PROPOSAL** | Link |
| **LEGAL DRAFTING** | R0060 Approved Legal Text |
| **RELATED CHANGE** | R0060 - ERDS Service Definition timezone correction |

## 14 GLOSSARY

| TERM | DEFINITION |
|---|---|
| Electricity Retail Data Agent (ERDA) | means a provider of a DNO's Electricity Retail Data Service. |
| Electricity Retail Data Service (ERDS) | means the electricity registration services provided under this Code to support the provision of electricity retail data to the CSS and other services. |
| Metering Point Registration System (MPRS) | means the System used or procured by each DNO in order to provide the Electricity Retail Data Service in accordance with the REC and / or the Supplier Meter Registration Service in accordance with the BSC. |